

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

ND

PERFO	RMANCE TRENDS C	IVER 4-YEAR PERIOD	
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

**Our School** 

Percent satisfied with home-school relations

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; well prepared to work at next grade level; well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

#### EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS Teachers Students **Parents** Number of surveys returned 25 131 28 Percent satisfied with learning environment 24.0% 66.1% 57.1% Percent satisfied with social and physical environment 54.6% 53.8% 48.0%

8.3%

76.9%

46.4%

Rivers ivillulle								1001017
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP	<u> </u>				L,	
		Et 1st ind	/.	Jon Basic		Proficient of	Advanced ole Profi	cient and cot
	/25	BLIF LESTIN	lested old	"Baz	Basic oh	. oficie	wante (	cient ance
	CHOIL	401/ 0/0	(e. / 'A	30,	ge / 0/0	81,	W. 640	cient and ci
	/ <b>V</b> V		0/0				00	/ 6
All students	200	00.5	63.6	glish/Lar 35.0		0.3	1.4	17.6
Gender	328	98.5	03.0	35.0	1.0	0.3	1.4	17.0
Male	159	98.7	72.3	27.0	0.7	N/A	0.7	17.6
Female	169	98.2	54.9	43.1	1.4	0.7	2.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	100	00.2	01.0	40.1	17	0.1	2.1	17.0
White	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
African-American	327	98.5	63.5	35.1	1.1	0.4	1.4	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	.,,,							
Not disabled	278	98.6	61.5	36.8	1.3	0.4	1.7	17.6
Disabled	50	98.0	74.5	25.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	328	98.5	63.5	35.1	1.1	0.4	1.4	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	328	98.5	63.5	35.1	1.1	0.4	1.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	301	98.3	63.2	35.2	1.1	0.4	1.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	27	100.0	66.7	33.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
All atudanta	005	400.0	07.0	Mathe		NI/A	0.4	45.5
All students	328	100.0	67.6	29.3	3.1	N/A	3.1	15.5
<b>Gender</b> Male	450	100.0	70.0	27.7	0.4	NI/A	0.4	15.5
iviale Female	159	100.0	70.2	27.7	2.1	N/A	2.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	169	100.0	64.8	31.0	4.1	N/A	4.1	15.5
White	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
African-American	327	100.0	67.5	29.4	3.1	N/A	3.1	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	IN/A	0.0	INIF	1 1//1	14/74	14/74	14//-	10.0
Not disabled	278	100.0	63.8	32.9	3.3	N/A	3.3	15.5
Disabled	50	100.0	87.2	10.6	2.1	N/A	2.1	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	328	100.0	67.5	29.4	3.1	N/A	3.1	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	328	100.0	67.5	29.4	3.1	N/A	3.1	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
O I 'I' I I	00:	1000	07.0	00.0	0.4	N1/A	0.4	455

67.2

70.8

29.8 25.0

4.2

N/A

3.1 4.2 15.5 15.5

100.0

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Ethalifer telephological of Eastern Control of Proficient of Relative of Proficient of Relative of Proficient of Relative of Relative of Proficient of Relative of Proficient of Profici										
			ent lesting	, kg /	Basil	/ ¿ic /	ficient	. ancet		
		roller	10,10	lested alogo	Flow Of	Basic ol	Proficient old	Advanced Notice		
		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	ayd Testing	0/02		/		0/0,		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	English N/A	n/Langua N/A	ge Arts N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
- 21	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2002	Grade 6	25	N/A		18.2		N/A	4.5		
				77.3	-	4.5		-		
	Grade 7	194	N/A	61.6	35.3	3.2	N/A	3.2		
•	Grade 8	206	N/A	64.4	30.7	3.5	1.5	5.0		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 7	159	98.1	63.0	35.6	1.5	N/A	1.5		
	Grade 8	169	98.8	64.2	34.4	0.7	0.7	1.3		

	Mathamatica												
	Mathematics												
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
20	Grade 6	25	N/A	77.3	22.7	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 7	194	N/A	79.9	15.9	3.2	1.1	4.2					
•	Grade 8	206	N/A	69.3	29.2	0.5	1.0	1.5					
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 7	159	100.0	66.9	30.9	2.2	N/A	2.2					
	Grade 8	169	100.0	68.2	27.8	4.0	N/A	4.0					

# SCHOOL PROFILE

C	our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 344)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	18.2%	Up from 0.0%	8.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.3%	Down from 16.4%	1.6%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	91.3%	Down from 95.9%	94.5%	95.2%
	1.4%	No change	4.4%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	14.1%	Down from 19.5%	16.3%	14.1%
	49.4%	Up from 22.6%	10.0%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	8.4%	Up from 0.0%	1.8%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	1.7%	Down from 2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 28)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	42.9%	Down from 48.8%	40.6%	47.1%
	64.3%	Up from 61.0%	64.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	59.6%	Down from 71.0%	71.6%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.3%	Up from 95.2%	94.7%	95.0%
	\$40,770	Down 4.8%	\$38,214	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	17.6 days	Up from 17.2 days	13.1 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Up from 0.5	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	16.2 to 1	Down from 21.8 to 1	18.3 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	85.0%	Down from 89.6%	86.5%	88.9%
	\$8,042	Up 37.3%	\$7,546	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.1%	Down from 63.6%	60.2%	62.0%
	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	97.6%	Up from 75.8%	94.8%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	no	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Ahhra	WISTIAN	c tor	Missina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample	,
---	---

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Many success stories were written at Rivers last year. Through our external review process, the State Department of Education found that our educational program met 79% - a 48% improvement over the previous years' report. Our teachers completed several hours of technology training and have begun to successfully use technology as a tool to improve instruction. We were able to offer students high school credit in Algebra I and keyboarding. We implemented three after school programs to assist our students in mastering the state standards. Seven new student activities/clubs were established to promote student involvement and school pride. Students actively participated in a number of community events. Ninety-eight percent of our alternative program students promoted to the next grade level. Our PTA and SIC were reestablished and were actively involved in the school's planning and progress. A curriculum calibration exercise showed that our student assignments aligned to the grade level state standards at a rate of 20% more than the national average. This year we will focus on extending those successes as well as work on areas of need identified by teacher, parent, and student surveys, our external review report, and the results of our curriculum calibration exercise.

To address student achievement, we will complete staff development in curriculum calibration and conduct weekly exercises to ensure that the grade level standards are being delivered in each classroom; participate in Benchmark testing to continually monitor student mastery of the state standards and adjust instruction as needed; and provide extended learning opportunities to each student through our "6th period" instructional block which will serve as a remediation or enrichment session.

To address the management of student behavior, we will implement best teaching practices in classrooms to keep students engaged in instruction decreasing the potential for off-task behaviors; frequently communicate behavioral; and consistently enforce the school's discipline policies with parental notification and participation.

To address parental involvement we will sponsor monthly parenting activities to help parents work with their middle school student to increase academic and social success; continue to build our PTA and SIC and their involvement in school planning initiatives; and schedule parent conferences and academic planning sessions.

I am excited about the direction in which Rivers Middle School is headed. I look forward to your continued support of our students and our school and to many more success stories in our book.

Cheryl Bennett, Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.